arXiv:1703.01060v1 [math.PR] 3 Mar 2017

On the small time asymptotics of 3D stochastic primitive equations

Zhao Dong[1,], Rangrang Zhang[1,]

[1] Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 55 Zhongguancun East Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100190, P. R. China

(dzhao@amt.ac.cn, rrzhang@amss.ac.cn)

Abstract: In this paper, we establish a small time large deviation principle for the strong solution of 3D stochastic primitive equations driven by multiplicative noise. Both the small noise and the small, but highly nonlinear, unbounded nonlinear terms should be taken into consideration.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 60F10 Secondary 60H15.

Keywords: primitive equation; small time asymptotics.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the primitive equations, which are a basic model in the study of large scale oceanic and atmospheric dynamics. This model forms the analytical core of the most general circulation models, which has been intensively investigated because of their challenging nonlinear and anisotropic structure (see [12, 13, 17]). The mathematical study of the primitive equations started in a series of articles by Lions, Temam and Wang in the early 1990s (see [12]-[15]), where they set up the mathematical framework and showed the global existence of weak solutions. Taking the advantage of the fact that the pressure is essentially two dimensional, Cao and Titi obtained the global well-posedness for the 3D viscous primitive equations in [2].

On the other hand, due to the existence of some uncertainties, it's reasonable to consider the random case of the primitive equations. In the past two decades, there are numerous important results about the stochastic primitive equations. In [11], Guo and Huang obtained the existence of universal random attractor of strong solution under the conditions that the momentum equation is driven by an additive stochastic forcing and the thermodynamical equation is driven by a fixed heat source. Subsequently, Debussche, Glatt-Holtz, Temam and Ziane established the global well-posedness of strong solution for the primitive equations driven by multiplicative random noises in [6]. The ergodic theory of the primitive equations is discussed by Dong, Zhai and Zhang [8]. For the small noise large deviations of the primitive equations, Gao and Sun [10] obtained a Freidlin-Wentzell's type result of weak solution in two dimensional case and we established the result of the strong solution in three dimensional case in [9].

The purpose of this paper is to study the small time asymptotics of the strong solution of 3D stochastic primitive equations, which describes the behavior of the fluid when time is very small. There are three main motivations to investigate this asymptotics. The first one is to estimate the limiting behavior of the solution in time interval $[0, t]$ as t goes to zero. The second one is to obtain Varadhan identity by making use of the small time asymptotics

$$\lim_{t\to 0} 2t \log P(Y(0) \in B,\ Y(t) \in C) = -d^2(B, C),$$

where Y is the solution and d is an appropriate Riemann distance associated with the diffusion generated by Y. Apart from the above, the small time asymptotics is also theoretically interesting because of the highly nonlinear terms of the stochastic primitive equations.

For the small time asymptotics, some models of fluid dynamics have been studied. For example, Xu and Zhang [18] established the small time asymptotics of the 2D Navier-Stokes equations in state space $C([0, T]; H)$. Liu, Röckner and Zhu [16] paid attention to the 2D quasi-geostrophic equations in the subcritical case. They firstly obtained the small time asymptotics in state space $L^\infty([0, T]; H)$ for regular initial value in $H^\delta \cap L^p$. Then, for general initial value in L^p, they achieved the result in state space $L^\infty([0, T]; H^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. Unfortunately, all the state spaces mentioned above are not suitable for our model. To deal with the problem, we change the state space to be $C([0, T]; V)$, which leads to some higher Sobolev norm estimates and much more complicated calculation. For instance, a key step during the proof is to show that the probability of the solution staying outside an energy ball in a suitable space is exponentially small, here, we have to choose the energy ball in V instead of H or H^{-1}. Moreover, for the 2D Navier-Stokes equations and 2D quasi-geostrophic equations, L^2 and L^p norms can be used to control their nonlinear terms, respectively. However, those norms are not strong enough for our case as our nonlinear terms have no cancellation property in V. Fortunately, we can overcome this difficulty by introducing stopping times similar to [6], then, the price is to estimate the exponential moment of stopping times, which is highly nontrivial. All the details of those estimates are in Sect. 3.2.

This paper is organized as follows. The mathematical framework for the stochastic primitive equations is in Sect. 2. The small time asymptotics of 3D stochastic primitive equations is proved in Sect. 3

2 Preliminaries

Let D be a smooth bounded open domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Set $O = D\times(-1,0)$. Consider the 3D primitive equations on $O\times[0,T]$ driven by a stochastic forcing in a Cartesian system

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (v\cdot\nabla)v + \theta\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + fk\times v + \nabla P + L_1 v &= \psi_1(t,v,T)\frac{dW_1}{dt}, && (2.1)\\
\partial_z P + T &= 0, && (2.2)\\
\nabla\cdot v + \partial_z\theta &= 0, && (2.3)\\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + (v\cdot\nabla)T + \theta\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + L_2 T &= \psi_2(t,v,T)\frac{dW_2}{dt}, && (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where the horizontal velocity field $v = (v_1, v_2)$, the vertical velocity field θ, the temperature T and the pressure P are all unknown functionals. f is the Coriolis parameter. k is vertical unit vector. W_1 and W_2 are two independent cylindrical Winner processes which will be given in Sect. 2.1. $\nabla = (\partial x, \partial y)$, $\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$. The viscosity and the heat diffusion operators L_1 and L_2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 v &= -A_h\Delta v - A_v\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2},\\
L_2 T &= -K_h\Delta T - K_v\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where A_h, A_v are positive molecular viscosities and K_h, K_v are positive conductivity constants. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$A_h = A_v = K_h = K_v = 1.$$

We impose the same boundary conditions as [6],

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_z v = 0,\ \theta = 0,\ \partial_z T = 0 \qquad &\text{on } D\times\{0\} = \Gamma_u, && (2.5)\\
\partial_z v = 0,\ \theta = 0,\ \partial_z T = 0 \qquad &\text{on } D\times\{-1\} = \Gamma_b, && (2.6)\\
v = 0,\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = 0 \qquad &\text{on } \partial D\times[-1,0] = \Gamma_l, && (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

where n is the outward normal vector to Γ_l.

Integrating (2.3) from -1 to z and using (2.5), (2.6), we have

$$\theta(t,x,y,z) := \Phi(v)(t,x,y,z) = -\int_{-1}^{z}\nabla\cdot v(t,x,y,z')dz', \tag{2.8}$$

moreover,

$$\int_{-1}^{0}\nabla\cdot v dz = 0.$$

Integrating (2.2) from -1 to z, set p_b be a certain unknown function at Γ_b satisfying

$$P(x,y,z,t) = p_b(x,y,t) - \int_{-1}^{z}T(x,y,z',t)dz'.$$

Then, (2.1)-(2.4) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)v + \Phi(v)\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + fk \times v + \nabla p_b - \int_{-1}^{z} \nabla T dz' + L_1 v = \psi_1(t, v, T)\frac{dW_1}{dt}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)T + \Phi(v)\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + L_2 T = \psi_2(t, v, T)\frac{dW_2}{dt}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\int_{-1}^{0} \nabla \cdot v dz = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

The boundary value conditions for (2.9)-(2.11) are given by

$$\partial_z v = 0,\ \partial_z T = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_u, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\partial_z v = 0,\ \partial_z T = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_b, \tag{2.13}$$

$$v = 0,\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_l. \tag{2.14}$$

Denote $Y = (v, T)$ and the initial value conditions

$$Y(0) = y := (y_1, y_2). \tag{2.15}$$

2.1 Framework

Let $\mathcal{L}(K_1; K_2)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_2(K_1; K_2)$) be the space of bounded (resp. Hilbert-Schmidt) linear operators from the Hilbert space K_1 to K_2, whose norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}(K_1;K_2)}(\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(K_1;K_2)})$. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, set

$$|\phi|_p = \begin{cases} (\int_O |\phi(x,y,z)|^p dxdydz)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \forall \phi \in L^p(O), \\ (\int_D |\phi(x,y)|^p dxdy)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \forall \phi \in L^p(D). \end{cases}$$

In particular, $|\cdot|$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)$ represent norm and inner product of $L^2(O)$ (or $L^2(D)$), respectively. For the classical Sobolev space $H^m(O)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$\begin{cases} H^m(O) = \left\{U \middle| \partial_\alpha U \in (L^2(O))^3 \text{ for } |\alpha| \le m\right\}, \\ |U|^2_{H^m(O)} = \sum_{0\le|\alpha|\le m} |\partial_\alpha U|^2. \end{cases}$$

It's known that $(H^m(O), |\cdot|_{H^m(O)})$ is a Hilbert space. $|\cdot|_{H^p(D)}$ stands for the norm of $H^p(D)$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

In the following, we recall the framework of (2.9)-(2.15) from [6]. Define

$$H := \left\{(v, T) \in (L^2(O))^3 : \nabla \cdot \int_{-1}^{0} v dz' = 0 \text{ in } O,\ n \cdot \int_{-1}^{0} v dz' = 0 \text{ on } \partial D,\ \int_O T dxdydz = 0\right\}. \tag{2.16}$$

H is equipped with $L^2(O)$ inner product. Define P_H to be the Leray type projection operator from $(L^2(O))^3$ onto H. For $(H^1(O))^3$, consider the subspace

$$V := \left\{(v, T) \in (H^1(O))^3 : \nabla \cdot \int_{-1}^{0} v dz' = 0 \text{ in } O,\ v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_l,\ \int_O T dxdydz = 0\right\}. \tag{2.17}$$

V is equipped with the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} ((Y, \tilde{Y})) &:= ((v, \tilde{v}))_1 + ((T, \tilde{T}))_2, \\ ((v, \tilde{v}))_1 &:= \int_O (\nabla v \cdot \nabla \tilde{v} + \partial_z v \cdot \partial_z \tilde{v}) dxdydz, \\ ((T, \tilde{T}))_2 &:= \int_O (\nabla T \cdot \nabla \tilde{T} + \partial_z T \cdot \partial_z \tilde{T}) dxdydz, \end{aligned}$$

and take $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{((\cdot,\cdot))}$. For the individual components of the solution $Y = (v, T)$, for convenience, $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are also used for $v = (v_1, v_2)$ and T. Moreover, for $p \geq 1$, denote $v^p = (v_1|v_1|^{p-1}, v_2|v_2|^{p-1})$ and let

$$|v|_p := (\int_O (|v_1|^p + |v_2|^p) dxdydz)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The principle linear portion of the equation is defined by

$$AY = P_H \begin{pmatrix} L_1 v \\ L_2 T \end{pmatrix}, \ for\ any\ Y = (v, T) \in D(A)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D(A) \quad = \quad & \Big\{ Y = (v, T) \in (H^2(O))^3 : \partial_z v = \partial_z T = 0 \ on\ \Gamma_u, \ \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = 0, \ on\ \Gamma_l, \\ & \partial_z v = \partial_z T = 0 \ on\ \Gamma_b \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

It's known that A is self-adjoint, positive definite. Due to the regularity results for the Stokes problem of geophysical fluid dynamics, we have $|AY| \cong |Y|_{H^2(O)}$.
For $Y, \tilde{Y} \in D(A)$, define

$$B(Y, \tilde{Y}) = P_H \begin{pmatrix} (v \cdot \nabla)\tilde{v} + \Phi(v)\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial z} \\ (v \cdot \nabla)\tilde{T} + \Phi(v)\frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the Coriolis forcing term and the pressure term, define

$$G(Y) = P_H \begin{pmatrix} fk \times v + \nabla p_b - \int_{-1}^{z} \nabla T dz' \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the stochastic terms, we fix a single stochastic basis $\mathcal{T} := (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, P, W)$. Here,

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a cylindrical Brownian motion with the form $W(t, \omega) = \sum_{i\geq 1} r_i w_i(t, \omega)$, where $\{r_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\{w_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ is a sequence of independent standard one-dimensional real-valued Brownian motions on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, P)$.
Define

$$\psi(t, Y) = P_H \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(t, Y(t)) \\ \psi_2(t, Y(t)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given any pair of Banach spaces $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, $Bnd_u(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ stands for the collection of all continuous mappings $\psi : [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|\psi(t, x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq L(1 + \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}, \ t \geq 0,$$

where the numerical constant L may be chosen independent of t. If, in addition,

$$\|\psi(t,x)-\psi(t,y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \le L\|x-y\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad x,y\in\mathcal{X},\ t\ge 0,$$

we say $\psi \in Lip_u(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$. Assume

Hypothesis H0 $\psi : [0,\infty)\times H \to \mathcal{L}_2(U;H)$ with

$$\psi \in Lip_u(H,\mathcal{L}_2(U;H)) \cap Lip_u(V,\mathcal{L}_2(U;V)) \cap Bnd_u(V,\mathcal{L}_2(U;D(A))).$$

Using the above operators, we reformulate (2.9)-(2.15) as the following abstract evolution system

$$\begin{cases} dY(t)+AY(t)dt+B(Y(t),Y(t))dt+G(Y(t))dt=\psi(t,Y(t))dW(t), \\ Y(0)=y. \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

2.2 Definition of strong solution

Now, we recall the following definition of strong solution to (2.19) from [6].

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{T} = (\Omega,\mathcal{F},\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\ge 0},P,W)$ be a fixed stochastic basis and suppose that y is a $V-$valued, $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable random variable with $E\|y\|^2 < \infty$. Y is called a strong solution of (2.19) if $Y(\cdot)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t-$adapted process in V, such that*

$$Y(\cdot)\in L^2(\Omega;C([0,T];V))\bigcap L^2(\Omega;L^2([0,T];D(A))) \quad \forall T>0,$$

and for every $t\ge 0$,

$$Y(t)+\int_0^t \Big(AY+B(Y,Y)+G(Y)\Big)ds = y+\int_0^t \psi(s,Y(s))dW(s), \tag{2.20}$$

holds in V', $P-$ a.s.

Referring to [6], we have

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $y\in L^2(\Omega;V)$ and is $\mathcal{F}_0-$measurable. Assume **Hypothesis H0** holds, there exists a unique global solution Y of (2.19) in the sense of Definition 2.1 with $Y(0)=y$.*

3 Small time asympotics

Let $\varepsilon>0$, by the scaling property of the Brownian motion, it is easy to see that $Y(\varepsilon t)$ coincides in law with the solution of the following equation:

$$Y^\varepsilon(t)+\varepsilon\int_0^t \Big(AY^\varepsilon+B(Y^\varepsilon,Y^\varepsilon)+G(Y^\varepsilon)\Big)ds = y+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^t \psi(\varepsilon s,Y^\varepsilon(s))dW(s) \tag{3.21}$$

Let μ_y^ε be the law of $Y^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ on $C([0,T];V)$ with initial data $Y^\varepsilon(0) = y$. Define a functional $I(g)$ on $C([0,T];V)$ by

$$I(g) = \inf_{h\in\Gamma_g}\Big\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\dot{h}(t)|_U^2 dt\Big\}, \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_g \quad = \quad & \Big\{h \in C([0,T];V) : h(\cdot) \textit{ is absolutely continuous and such that} \\ & g(t) = y + \int_0^t \psi(s, g(s))\dot{h}(s)ds, 0 \le t \le T\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain small time saymptotic of strong solution, additional assumption on the initial value is needed.

Hypothesis H1 $y \in L^\infty(\Omega; V)$.

3.1 Main result

Theorem 3.1. *Assume **Hypothesis H0** and **Hypothesis H1** hold, then μ_y^ε satisfies a large deviation principle with the rate function $I(\cdot)$ defined by (3.22), that is,*

(i) *For any closed subset $F \subset C([0,T];V)$,*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\sup_{y_n\to y} \varepsilon \log \mu_{y_n}^\varepsilon(F) \le -\inf_{g\in F} I(g).$$

(ii) *For any open subset $G \subset C([0,T];V)$,*

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0}\inf_{y_n\to y} \varepsilon \log \mu_{y_n}^\varepsilon(G) \ge -\inf_{g\in G} I(g).$$

Proof. Let $Z^\varepsilon = (Z^{1,\varepsilon}, Z^{2,\varepsilon})$ be the solution of the stochastic equation

$$Z^\varepsilon(t) = y + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^t \psi(\varepsilon s, Z^\varepsilon(s))dW(s), \tag{3.23}$$

and ν^ε be the law of $Z^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ on $C([0,T];V)$. By [3], we know that ν^ε satisfies a large deviation principle with the rate function $I(\cdot)$. Then, our main task is to show that two families of the probability measures μ^ε and ν^ε are exponentially equivalent, that is, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon \log P(\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|Y^\varepsilon(t) - Z^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > \delta) = -\infty. \tag{3.24}$$

Then the conclusion in Theorem 3.1 follows from (3.24) and Theorem 4.2.13 in [7].

3.2 Priori estimates

In order to prove (3.24), several priori estimates are needed. Notice that the differences between (2.20) and (3.21) are only constant coefficients, so Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 5.1 in [6] still hold for Y^ε. Here, we only recall Theorem 3.1 from [6].

Theorem 3.2. *Assume **Hypothesis H0** holds. Given any $\mathcal{F}_0-$measurable $y \in L^2(\Omega; V)$, there exists a unique global pathwise solution Y^ε of (3.21) with $Y^\varepsilon(0) = y$. If for some $p \geq 2$, $y \in L^p(\Omega; V)$, then, for any $T > 0$, we have the bounds*

$$E\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y^\varepsilon|^p + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|Y^\varepsilon\|^2 |Y^\varepsilon|^{p-2} dt\Big) < \infty, \tag{3.25}$$

and

$$E\Big(\int_0^T \|Y^\varepsilon\|^2 dt\Big)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty. \tag{3.26}$$

Remark 1. *If **Hypothesis H1** holds, then*

$$E\Big(\int_0^T \|Y^\varepsilon\|^2 dt\Big)^{p} < \infty, \ for\ any\ p \geq 1. \tag{3.27}$$

Throughout the whole paper, we always assume **Hypothesis H0** and **Hypothesis H1** hold.

The following is our main result in this section, which gives the probability of Y^ε leaves an energy ball in V.

Theorem 3.3.

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big((|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 > M\Big) = -\infty, \tag{3.28}$$

where

$$(|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 := \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \|Y^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T |AY^\varepsilon|^2 dt.$$

It's hard to estimate the above theorem directly like 2D Navier-Stokes equations because of its strong nonlinear terms. Here, stopping times are introduced to overcome this difficulty.

For some constant $K > 0$, define stopping times

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_K^{(1)} &:= \inf\Big\{t : |v^\varepsilon|_4^4 > K\Big\}, \\
\tau_K^{(2)} &:= \inf\Big\{t : |\partial_z Y^\varepsilon|^2 > K,\ or\ \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\partial_z Y^\varepsilon\|^2 ds > K\Big\}, \\
\tau_K &:= \tau_K^{(1)} \wedge \tau_K^{(2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

Lemma 3.1.

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1}\varepsilon\log P\Big((|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T\wedge\tau_K))^2>M\Big)=-\infty. \tag{3.29}$$

Proof. Applying Itô formula to $\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2+2\varepsilon|AY^\varepsilon|^2dt\\
= \;& -2\varepsilon\langle B(Y^\varepsilon,Y^\varepsilon),AY^\varepsilon\rangle dt-2\varepsilon\langle G(Y^\varepsilon),AY^\varepsilon\rangle dt\\
& +\varepsilon\|\psi(\varepsilon t,Y^\varepsilon)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;V)}dt+2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\langle A^{\frac12}\psi(\varepsilon t,Y^\varepsilon)dW,\ A^{\frac12}Y^\varepsilon\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Referring to Theorem 3.2 in [6], it gives

$$|\langle B(Y^\varepsilon,Y^\varepsilon),AY^\varepsilon\rangle|\leq\frac14|AY^\varepsilon|^2+C(|v^\varepsilon|_4^8+|\partial_zY^\varepsilon|^2\|\partial_zY^\varepsilon\|^2)\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Young inequality, we have

$$|\langle G(Y^\varepsilon),AY^\varepsilon\rangle|\leq\frac14|AY^\varepsilon|^2+C(1+\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2).$$

As a result of above and by **Hypothesis H0**, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Y^\varepsilon(t)\|^2+\varepsilon\int_0^t|AY^\varepsilon(s)|^2ds\\
\leq \;& \|y\|^2+2\varepsilon Ct+\varepsilon Lt+2\varepsilon C\int_0^t(|v^\varepsilon|_4^8+|\partial_zY^\varepsilon|^2\|\partial_zY^\varepsilon\|^2+1)\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2ds\\
& +\varepsilon L\int_0^t\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2ds+2\sqrt{\varepsilon}|\int_0^t\langle A^{\frac12}\psi(\varepsilon s,Y^\varepsilon)dW,\ A^{\frac12}Y^\varepsilon\rangle|.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of the definition of τ_K, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T\wedge\tau_K))^2\\
\leq \;& \|y\|^2+2\varepsilon CT+\varepsilon LT+2\varepsilon C\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_K}(K^2+K\|\partial_zY^\varepsilon\|^2+1)(|Y^\varepsilon|_V(s))^2ds\\
& +\varepsilon L\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_K}(|Y^\varepsilon|_V(s))^2ds+2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_K]}|\int_0^t\langle A^{\frac12}\psi(\varepsilon s,Y^\varepsilon)dW,\ A^{\frac12}Y^\varepsilon\rangle|.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

Applying Gronwall inequality to (3.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T\wedge\tau_K))^2 \;\leq\; & \Big(\|y\|^2+2\varepsilon CT+\varepsilon LT+2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_K]}|\int_0^t\langle A^{\frac12}\psi(\varepsilon s,Y^\varepsilon)dW,\ A^{\frac12}Y^\varepsilon\rangle|\Big)\\
& \cdot\exp\Big\{2\varepsilon CK^2T+2\varepsilon CK\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_K}\|\partial_zY^\varepsilon\|^2ds+2\varepsilon CT+\varepsilon LT\Big\}\\
\leq \;& \Big(\|y\|^2+2\varepsilon CT+\varepsilon LT+2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_K]}|\int_0^t\langle A^{\frac12}\psi(\varepsilon s,Y^\varepsilon)dW,\ A^{\frac12}Y^\varepsilon\rangle|\Big)\\
& \cdot\exp\Big\{2\varepsilon CK^2T+2\varepsilon CK^2+2\varepsilon CT+\varepsilon LT\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Hölder inequality, we have for $p \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E(|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T\wedge\tau_K))^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq\ & \Big[\|y\|^2 + 2\varepsilon CT + \varepsilon LT + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\Big(E\big(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_K]}|\int_0^t \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi(\varepsilon s, Y^\varepsilon)dW,\ A^{\frac{1}{2}}Y^\varepsilon\rangle|^p\big)\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big] \\
&\cdot \exp\Big\{2\varepsilon CK^2T + 2\varepsilon CK^2 + 2\varepsilon CT + \varepsilon LT\Big\}. \qquad (3.31)
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the stochastic integral term in (3.31), we will use the following remarkable result from [1] and [4] that there exists a universal constant C such that, for any $p \geq 2$ and for any continuous martingale M_t with $M_0 = 0$, one has

$$(E(|M_t^*|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq Cp^{\frac{1}{2}}(E\langle M\rangle_t^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{1}{p}}, \qquad (3.32)$$

where $M_t^* = \sup_{s\in[0,t]}|M_s|$.

Using (3.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(E\big(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_K]}|\int_0^t \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi(\varepsilon s, Y^\varepsilon)dW,\ A^{\frac{1}{2}}Y^\varepsilon\rangle|^p\big)\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq\ & C\sqrt{p}\Big(E\big(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_K}\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2\|\psi(\varepsilon s, Y^\varepsilon)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;V)}ds\big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq\ & C\sqrt{p}L\Big(E\big(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_K}\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2(1+\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2)ds\big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq\ & C\sqrt{p}L\Big[\Big(E\big(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_K}(1+\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2)^2ds\big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq\ & C\sqrt{p}L\Big[\Big(E\big(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_K}(1+\|Y^\varepsilon\|^4)ds\big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq\ & C\sqrt{p}L\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_K}1+(E\|Y^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p})^{\frac{2}{p}}ds\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \qquad (3.33)
\end{aligned}$$

As a result of (3.31) and (3.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E(|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T\wedge\tau_K))^{2p})^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
\leq\ & \Big[(\|y\|^2 + 2\varepsilon CT + \varepsilon LT)^2 + 4C\varepsilon pTL^2 + 4C\varepsilon pL^2\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_K}(E(|Y^\varepsilon|_V(t))^{2p})^{\frac{2}{p}}dt\Big] \\
&\cdot \exp\Big\{4\varepsilon CK^2T + 4\varepsilon CK^2 + 4\varepsilon CT + 2\varepsilon LT\Big\}. \qquad (3.34)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall inequality to (3.34), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E(|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T\wedge\tau_K))^{2p})^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
\leq\ & C\exp\Big\{4\varepsilon CK^2T + 4\varepsilon CK^2 + 4\varepsilon CT + 2\varepsilon LT\Big\} \\
&\cdot\Big[(\|y\|^2 + 2\varepsilon CT + \varepsilon LT)^2 + 4C\varepsilon pTL^2\Big]\cdot\exp\Big\{4C\varepsilon pL^2Te^{4\varepsilon CK^2T+4\varepsilon CK^2+4\varepsilon CT+2\varepsilon LT}\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $p = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ and by the Chebyshev inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon \log P((|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T \wedge \tau_K))^2 > M) \\
\leq\ & -\log M + \log(E(|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T \wedge \tau_K))^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq\ & -\log M + \log \sqrt{(\|y\|^2 + 2\varepsilon CT + \varepsilon LT)^2 + 4C\varepsilon pTL^2} + 2\varepsilon CK^2T + 2\varepsilon CK^2 + 2\varepsilon CT \\
& + \varepsilon LT + 2C\varepsilon pL^2 T e^{4\varepsilon CK^2T + 4\varepsilon CK^2 + 4\varepsilon CT + 2\varepsilon LT}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Letting $M \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.35), we complete the proof.

■

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon \log P\Big((|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 > M\Big) \\
\leq\ & \varepsilon \log P\Big((|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 > M, \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |v^\varepsilon|_4^4 \leq K, \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\partial_z Y^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\partial_z Y^\varepsilon\|^2 dt \leq K\Big) \\
& + \varepsilon \log P\Big((|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 > M, \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |v^\varepsilon|_4^4 > K, \ or \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\partial_z Y^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\partial_z Y^\varepsilon\|^2 dt > K\Big) \\
\leq\ & \varepsilon \log P\Big((|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T \wedge \tau_K))^2 > M\Big) + \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |v^\varepsilon|_4^4 > K\Big) \\
& + \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\partial_z Y^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\partial_z Y^\varepsilon\|^2 dt > K\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big((|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T \wedge \tau_K))^2 > M\Big) = -\infty.$$

Thus, in order to verify Theorem 3.3, we only need to prove

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |v^\varepsilon|_4^4 > K\Big) = -\infty, \tag{3.37}$$

and

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\partial_z Y^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\partial_z Y^\varepsilon\|^2 dt > K\Big) = -\infty. \tag{3.38}$$

In the following, we devote to proving (3.37) and (3.38). Firstly, we have

Lemma 3.2.

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |v^\varepsilon|_4^4 > K\Big) = -\infty.$$

In order to prove Lemma 3.2, we introduce the same auxiliary process $\check{Y}^\varepsilon$ as [6],

$$\begin{cases} d\check{Y}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon A\check{Y}^\varepsilon dt = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\psi(\varepsilon t, Y^\varepsilon)dW, \\ \check{Y}^\varepsilon(0) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.39}$$

Then,

Lemma 3.3. *For any $p \geq 1$,*

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}(t)\|^{2p} > K) = -\infty. \tag{3.40}$$

Moreover,

$$E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |A\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}(t)|^{2p} < \infty.$$

Proof. Applying Itô formula to $\|\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}(t)\|^2$, we have

$$\|\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}(t)\|^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_0^t |A\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}(s)|^2 ds = 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}, A^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi(\varepsilon s,\ Y^{\varepsilon}) dW(s)\rangle + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\psi(\varepsilon s, Y^{\varepsilon})\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;V)} ds.$$

By **Hypothesis H0**, we get

$$\|\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}(t)\|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^t |A\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}(s)|^2 ds \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}, A^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi(\varepsilon s,\ Y^{\varepsilon}) dW(s)\rangle + \varepsilon L^2 t + \varepsilon L^2 \int_0^t \|Y^{\varepsilon}(s)\|^2 ds.$$

Denote

$$M_t = 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}, A^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi(\varepsilon s, Y^{\varepsilon}) dW(s)\rangle.$$

By Hölder inequality, we have for any $p \geq 1$,

$$(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}(t)\|^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (E(M_T^*)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \varepsilon L^2 T + \varepsilon L^2 \Big(E(\int_0^T \|Y^{\varepsilon}\|^2 ds)^p \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{3.41}$$

For $(E(M_T^*)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ in (3.41), by (3.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(E(M_T^*)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p} L \Big(E(\int_0^T \|\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}\|^2 (1 + \|Y^{\varepsilon}\|^2) ds)^{\frac{p}{2}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p} L \Big[E\Big(\eta \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}\|^4 + C(\int_0^T (1 + \|Y^{\varepsilon}\|^2) ds)^2 \Big)^{\frac{p}{2}} \Big]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p} L\eta (E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}\|^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}} + 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p} L \Big(E(\int_0^T (1 + \|Y^{\varepsilon}\|^2) ds)^p \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} (E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}\|^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}} + 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p} L \Big(E(\int_0^T (1 + \|Y^{\varepsilon}\|^2) ds)^p \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

where η is chosen to be sufficiently small such that $2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p} L\eta < \frac{1}{2}$.

As a result of (3.41) and (3.42), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}(t)\|^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq\ & 2\varepsilon LT + 2\varepsilon L \Big(E(\int_0^T \|Y^{\varepsilon}\|^2 ds)^p \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} + 4C\sqrt{\varepsilon p} L \Big(E(\int_0^T (1 + \|Y^{\varepsilon}\|^2) ds)^p \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

By (3.26), we obtain

$$(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\breve{Y}^{\varepsilon}(t)\|^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2\varepsilon LT + 2\varepsilon CL + 4C\sqrt{\varepsilon p} L.$$

Using the same technique as (3.35) in Lemma 3.1, we conclude the result.

■

Let $\hat{Y}^\varepsilon := Y^\varepsilon - \check{Y}^\varepsilon = (\hat{v}^\varepsilon, \hat{T}^\varepsilon)$, we have

Lemma 3.4. *For any $p \geq 1$,*

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(|\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^{4p} > K\Big) = -\infty. \tag{3.44}$$

Proof. Referring to Proposition 4.3 in [6], we obtain

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^4 \leq C\|y\|^4 + C\varepsilon(1 + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^4 + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\check{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^4) \int_0^T H(t)dt,$$

where

$$H(t) = (1 + \|Y^\varepsilon(t)\|^2)(1 + |A\check{Y}^\varepsilon(t)|^4).$$

Applying Gronwall inequality in [6], it gives

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^4 \leq C\varepsilon(1 + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\check{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^4) \int_0^T H(t)dt.$$

By Hölder inequality, we deduce that for any $p \geq 1$,

$$(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^{4p})^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\varepsilon + C\varepsilon(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\check{v}^\varepsilon(t)\|^{8p})^{\frac{1}{p}} + C\varepsilon(E(\int_0^T H(t)dt)^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Moreover, by the Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big(E(\int_0^T H(t)dt)^{2p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \Big(E(\int_0^T (1 + \|Y^\varepsilon(t)\|^2)(1 + |A\check{Y}^\varepsilon|^4)dt)^{2p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \Big(E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} (1 + |A\check{Y}^\varepsilon|^4) \int_0^T (1 + \|Y^\varepsilon(t)\|^2)dt)^{2p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq C\Big(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} (1 + |A\check{Y}^\varepsilon|^4)^{4p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C\Big(E(\int_0^T (1 + \|Y^\varepsilon(t)\|^2)dt)^{4p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^{4p})^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq C\varepsilon + C\varepsilon(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\check{v}^\varepsilon(t)\|^{8p})^{\frac{1}{p}} + C\varepsilon\Big(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} (1 + |A\check{Y}^\varepsilon|^4)^{4p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon\Big(E(\int_0^T (1 + \|Y^\varepsilon(t)\|^2)dt)^{4p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

By (3.26) and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^{4p})^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Using the same method as (3.35) in Lemma 3.1, we conclude the result.

■

Up to now, we get ready to prove Lemma 3.2.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Since H^1 is embedded in $L^4(O)$, we have

$$\varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |v^\varepsilon|_4^4 > K\Big) \le \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\check{Y}^\varepsilon(t)\|^4 + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^4 > K\Big).$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\check{Y}^\varepsilon(t)\|^4 + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^4 > K\Big) = -\infty.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &(E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^4 + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\check{Y}^\varepsilon(t)\|^4)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \le\ & C(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\hat{v}^\varepsilon(t)|_4^{4p})^{\frac{1}{p}} + C(E \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\check{Y}^\varepsilon(t)\|^{4p})^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.43) and (3.45), we complete the proof.

■

Now, we focus on (3.38) and claim that

Lemma 3.5.

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\partial_z Y^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\partial_z Y^\varepsilon\|^2 dt > K\Big) = -\infty.$$

Proof. In view of the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\partial_z Y^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\partial_z Y^\varepsilon\|^2 dt)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \le\ & C_p\Big(E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\partial_z v^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\partial_z v^\varepsilon\|^2 dt)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C_p\Big(E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\partial_z T^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\partial_z T^\varepsilon\|^2 dt)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ :=\ & C_p(I_1 + I_2), \end{aligned}$$

we need to prove both I_1 and I_2 are finite.

Referring to Proposition 5.2 in [6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\partial_z v^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|\partial_z v^\varepsilon\|^2 dt \\ \le\ & C|\partial_z y_1|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T |v^\varepsilon|_4^8 |\partial_z v^\varepsilon|^2 ds + C\varepsilon \int_0^T (1 + \|Y^\varepsilon\|^2) ds \\ &+2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \Big| \int_0^t \langle \partial_z \psi_1(\varepsilon s, Y^\varepsilon) dW, \partial_z v^\varepsilon\rangle\Big| \\ =\ & C|\partial_z y_1|^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T |v^\varepsilon|_4^8 |\partial_z v^\varepsilon|^2 ds + C\varepsilon \int_0^T (1 + \|Y^\varepsilon\|^2) ds + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} M_T^{v*}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_T^{v*} = \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |\int_0^t \langle \partial_z \psi_1(\varepsilon s, Y^\varepsilon) dW,\ \partial_z v^\varepsilon\rangle|.$$

For $(E|M_T^{v*}|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, similar to (3.42), we have

$$(E|M_T^{v*}|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L\eta\Big(E\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\partial_z v^\varepsilon|^{2p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} + 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L\Big(E(\int_0^T(1+|\partial_z Y^\varepsilon|^2)ds)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{3.46}$$

Then, by Hölder inequality and (3.46), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\partial_z v^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\int_0^T\|\partial_z v^\varepsilon\|^2 dt)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
\le\ & C|\partial_z y_1|^2 + C\varepsilon(E\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|v^\varepsilon|_4^{16p})^{\frac{1}{p}} + C\varepsilon\Big(E(\int_0^T|\partial_z v^\varepsilon|^2 dt)^{2p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&+C\varepsilon\Big(E(\int_0^T(1+\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2)ds)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} + 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L\eta\Big(E\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\partial_z v^\varepsilon|^{2p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&+2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L\Big(E(\int_0^T(1+|\partial_z Y^\varepsilon|^2)ds)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing η sufficiently small such that $2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L\eta < \frac{1}{2}$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 \le\ & C|\partial_z y_1|^2 + 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L + C\varepsilon(E\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|v^\varepsilon|_4^{16p})^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&+(C\varepsilon + 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L)\Big(E(\int_0^T|\partial_z v^\varepsilon|^2 dt)^{2p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C\varepsilon\Big(E(\int_0^T(1+\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2)ds)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 and (3.26) that

$$I_1 \le C + C\varepsilon + 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L. \tag{3.48}$$

Referring to Proposition 5.3 in [6], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\partial_z T^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\int_0^T\|\partial_z T^\varepsilon\|^2 dt\\
\le\ & C|\partial_z y_2|^2 + C\varepsilon\int_0^T(1+|v^\varepsilon|_4^8)|\partial_z T^\varepsilon|^2 ds + C\varepsilon\int_0^T(1+|T^\varepsilon|_4^8)\|\partial_z v^\varepsilon\|^2 ds\\
&+C\varepsilon\int_0^T(1+\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2)ds + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\int_0^t\langle\partial_z\psi_2(\varepsilon s, Y^\varepsilon)dW,\ \partial_z T^\varepsilon\rangle|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to I_1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\partial_z T^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon\int_0^T\|\partial_z T^\varepsilon\|^2dt)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
\leq\ & C|\partial_z y_2|^2+C\varepsilon\Big(E(\int_0^T(1+\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2)ds)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&+C\varepsilon\Big(E(1+\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|v^\varepsilon|_4^{16p})\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}+C\varepsilon\Big(E(\int_0^T|\partial_z T^\varepsilon|^2dt)^{2p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&+C\varepsilon\Big(E(1+\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|T^\varepsilon|_4^{16p})\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}+C\varepsilon\Big(E(\int_0^T\|\partial_z v^\varepsilon\|^2dt)^{2p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&+2\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L\eta(E\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\partial_z T^\varepsilon|^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}}+2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L\Big(E(\int_0^T(1+\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2)ds)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking η to be small enough such that $2\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L\eta<\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2\ \leq\ & C|\partial_z y_2|^2+C\varepsilon\Big(E(\int_0^T(1+\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2)ds)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&+C\varepsilon\Big(E(1+\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|v^\varepsilon|_4^{16p})\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}+C\varepsilon\Big(E(\int_0^T|\partial_z T^\varepsilon|^2dt)^{2p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&+C\varepsilon\Big(E(1+\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|T^\varepsilon|_4^{16p})\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}+C\varepsilon\Big(E(\int_0^T\|\partial_z v^\varepsilon\|^2dt)^{2p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&+2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L\Big(E(\int_0^T(1+\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2)ds)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.49}$$

Utilizing (3.47), Lemma 3.2, Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 5.1 in [6], we deduce from (3.49) that

$$I_2\leq C+C\varepsilon+2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L.\tag{3.50}$$

From (3.48) and (3.50), we have

$$\Big(E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\partial_z Y^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon\int_0^T\|\partial_z Y^\varepsilon\|^2dt)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}\leq C+C\varepsilon+2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L.$$

Applying the same method as (3.35) in Lemma 3.1, we complete the proof.

■

Up to now, we are ready to prove Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. In view of (3.36), the result can be easily concluded by Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.5.

■

3.3 Proof of (3.24)

In the following, we will prove (3.24) with the help of the triangle inequality and two special processes $Y_n^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ and $Z_n^\varepsilon(\cdot)$, which are described in below.

Since $D(A)$ is dense in V, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset D(A)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|y_n - y\| = 0.$$

Let $Y_n^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ be the solution of (3.21) with the initial value y_n. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big((|Y_n^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 > M\Big) = -\infty \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{3.51}$$

Denote by $Z_n^\varepsilon(\cdot) = (Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}, Z_n^{2,\varepsilon})$ the solution of (3.23) with the initial value y_n.

Applying Itô formula to $|AZ_n^\varepsilon|^2$ and following the same step as Lemma 3.2 in [18], we deduce that

Lemma 3.6. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,*

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |AZ_n^\varepsilon(t)|^2 > M\Big) = -\infty.$$

Firstly, we verify the exponential convergence of $Y^\varepsilon - Y_n^\varepsilon$.

Lemma 3.7. *For any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Y^\varepsilon(t) - Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > \delta\Big) = -\infty.$$

Proof. For any n and $K > 0$, define stopping times

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\varepsilon,K} &:= \inf\Big\{t : \varepsilon \int_0^t |AY^\varepsilon(r)|^2 dr > K, \quad or\ \|Y^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > K\Big\},\\
\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n &:= \inf\Big\{t : \varepsilon \int_0^t |AY_n^\varepsilon(r)|^2 dr > K, \quad or\ \|Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > K\Big\},\\
\tau_\varepsilon^n &:= \tau_{\varepsilon,K} \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Y^\varepsilon(t) - Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > \delta,\ (|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 \leq K,\ (|Y_n^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 \leq K\Big)\\
\leq\ & P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n]} \|Y^\varepsilon(t) - Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > \delta\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

Let l be a positive constant, which will be chosen later. Applying Itô formula to

$$e^{-l\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}(\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2|AY^\varepsilon|^2+\|Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2|AY_n^\varepsilon|^2)ds}\|Y^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n) - Y_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)\|^2 := \chi(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)\|Y^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n) - Y_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)\|^2,$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)\|Y^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)-Y_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)\|^2+2\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)|AY^\varepsilon-AY_n^\varepsilon|^2ds\\
= \;& \|y-y_n\|^2-l\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)(\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2|AY^\varepsilon|^2+\|Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2|AY_n^\varepsilon|^2)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds\\
& -2\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)\langle B(Y^\varepsilon,Y^\varepsilon)-B(Y_n^\varepsilon,Y_n^\varepsilon),\ A(Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon)\rangle ds\\
& -2\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)\langle G(Y^\varepsilon)-G(Y_n^\varepsilon),A(Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon)\rangle ds\\
& +\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)\|\psi(\varepsilon s,Y^\varepsilon(s))-\psi(\varepsilon s,Y_n^\varepsilon(s))\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;V)}ds\\
& +2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)\langle(\psi(\varepsilon s,Y^\varepsilon(s))-\psi(\varepsilon s,Y_n^\varepsilon(s)))dW(s),\ A(Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon)\rangle.
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall (5.9) from [5], it gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\langle B(Y^\varepsilon,Y^\varepsilon)-B(Y_n^\varepsilon,Y_n^\varepsilon),A(Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon)\rangle|\\
\leq \;& \frac{1}{4}|A(Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon)|^2+C(\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2|AY^\varepsilon|^2+\|Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2|AY_n^\varepsilon|^2)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2. \qquad (3.53)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, we have

$$
|\langle G(Y^\varepsilon)-G(Y_n^\varepsilon),A(Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon)\rangle|\leq\frac{1}{2}|AY^\varepsilon-AY_n^\varepsilon|^2+C\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2. \qquad (3.54)
$$

Using **Hypothesis H0**, (3.53) and (3.54), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)\|Y^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)-Y_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)\|^2+2\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)|AY^\varepsilon-AY_n^\varepsilon|^2ds\\
\leq \;& \|y-y_n\|^2-l\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)(\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2|AY^\varepsilon|^2+\|Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2|AY_n^\varepsilon|^2)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds\\
& +\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)|AY^\varepsilon-AY_n^\varepsilon|^2ds+2\varepsilon L\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds\\
& +2\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)(\|Y^\varepsilon\|^2|AY^\varepsilon|^2+\|Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2|AY_n^\varepsilon|^2)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds\\
& +\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)|AY^\varepsilon-AY_n^\varepsilon|^2ds+\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds\\
& +2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)\langle(\psi(\varepsilon s,Y^\varepsilon(s))-\psi(\varepsilon s,Y_n^\varepsilon(s)))dW(s),\ A(Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon)\rangle. \qquad (3.55)
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $l>2C$ in (3.55), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)\|Y^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)-Y_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)\|^2\\
\leq \;& \|y-y_n\|^2+2\varepsilon L\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds+C\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds\\
& +2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\chi(s)\langle(\psi(\varepsilon s,Y^\varepsilon(s))-\psi(\varepsilon s,Y_n^\varepsilon(s)))dW(s),\ A(Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon)\rangle.
\end{aligned}
$$

Utilizing Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(E(\sup_{0\le s\le t\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}(\chi(s)\|Y^\varepsilon(s)-Y_n^\varepsilon(s)\|^2))^p\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}\\
\le\ & 2\|y-y_n\|^4+4\varepsilon^2L^2\int_0^t\Big(E(\sup_{0\le r\le s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}(\chi(r)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2)^p)\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}ds\\
&+C\varepsilon^2\int_0^t\Big(E(\sup_{0\le r\le s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}(\chi(r)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2)^p)\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}ds+16C\varepsilon pL^2\int_0^t\Big(E(\sup_{0\le r\le s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}(\chi^2(r)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^4)^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}ds\\
\le\ & 2\|y-y_n\|^4+4\varepsilon^2L^2\int_0^t\Big(E(\sup_{0\le r\le s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}(\chi(r)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2)^p)\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}ds\\
&+C\varepsilon^2\int_0^t\Big(E(\sup_{0\le r\le s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}(\chi(r)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2)^p)\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}ds+16C\varepsilon pL^2\int_0^t\Big(E(\sup_{0\le r\le s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}(\chi(r)\|Y^\varepsilon-Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2)^p)\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall inequality, we get

$$\Big(E(\sup_{0\le t\le T\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}(\chi(t)\|Y^\varepsilon(t)-Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2))^p\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}\le 2\|y-y_n\|^4e^{4\varepsilon^2L^2T+16C\varepsilon pL^2T+C\varepsilon^2T}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(E(\sup_{0\le t\le T\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\|Y^\varepsilon(t)-Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2)^p\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}\\
\le\ & (E[\sup_{0\le t\le T\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}(\chi(t)\|Y^\varepsilon(t)-Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2)^p\chi^{-p}(T\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n)])^{\frac{2}{p}}\\
\le\ & e^{8lK^2}\Big(E\sup_{0\le t\le T\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}(\chi(t)\|Y^\varepsilon(t)-Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2)^p\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}\\
\le\ & 2e^{8lK^2}\|y-y_n\|^4e^{4\varepsilon^2L^2T+16C\varepsilon pL^2T+C\varepsilon^2T}. \qquad (3.56)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $p=\frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ in (3.56), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le1}\varepsilon\log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n]}\|Y^\varepsilon(t)-Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2>\delta\Big)\\
\le\ & \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le1}\varepsilon\log\frac{E(\sup_{0\le t\le T\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^n}\|Y^\varepsilon(t)-Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2)^p}{\delta^p}\\
\le\ & 8lK^2+4L^2T+16CL^2T+CT-2\log\delta+\log2\|y-y_n\|^4. \qquad (3.57)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|y_n-y\|\to0, as\ n\to\infty$ and by (3.52), we deduce from (3.57) that for any K,

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le1}\varepsilon\log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|Y^\varepsilon(t)-Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2>\delta,\ (|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2\le K,\ (|Y_n^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2\le K\Big)\to-\infty\quad as\ n\to\infty. \qquad (3.58)$$

Recall Theorem 3.3, for any $R>0$, there exists a constant M_1 such that

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le1}\varepsilon\log P((|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2>M_1)\le-R. \qquad (3.59)$$

Moreover, by (3.51), for such R, there exists a constant M_2 such that

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \varepsilon \log P((|Y_n^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 > M_2) \le -R \quad \forall n. \tag{3.60}$$

Finally, by (3.58), for such R, there exists a positive integer N, such that for any $n \ge N$,

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Y^\varepsilon(t) - Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > \delta,\ (|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 \le K,\ (|Y_n^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 \le K\Big) \le -R \quad \forall K. \tag{3.61}$$

Let n in (3.60) be bigger than N and K in (3.61) to be $M := M_1 \vee M_2$, we deduce that for any $n \ge N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Y^\varepsilon(t) - Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > \delta\Big) \\
\le\ &\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Y^\varepsilon(t) - Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > \delta,\ (|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 \le M,\ (|Y_n^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 \le M\Big) \\
&+ \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \varepsilon \log P((|Y^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 > M) + \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \varepsilon \log P((|Y_n^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 > M) \\
\le\ &-3R.
\end{aligned}$$

Since R is arbitrary, we complete the proof.

■

Furthermore, we prove the exponential convergence of $Z^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon$.

Lemma 3.8. *For any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Z^\varepsilon(t) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > \delta\Big) = -\infty. \tag{3.62}$$

Proof. From (3.23), we have

$$Z^\varepsilon(t) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t) = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (\psi(\varepsilon s, Z^\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon s, Z_n^\varepsilon)) dW(s).$$

Applying Itô formula to $\|Z^\varepsilon(t) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|Z^\varepsilon(t) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 \\
=\ &2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon), A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\psi(\varepsilon s, Z^\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon s, Z_n^\varepsilon)) dW(s)\rangle + \|\psi(\varepsilon t, Z^\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon t, Z_n^\varepsilon)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;V)} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality, **Hypothesis H0** and (3.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(E(\sup_{0\le s\le t} \|Z^\varepsilon(s) - Z_n^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p})\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
\le\ &2\|y - y_n\|^4 + (2L^2\varepsilon^2 + 8C\varepsilon p L^2) \int_0^t \Big(E(\sup_{0\le r\le s} \|Z^\varepsilon(r) - Z_n^\varepsilon(r)\|^{2p})\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Utilizing Gronwall inequality, we get

$$\Big(E(\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|Z^\varepsilon(t) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^{2p})\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}} \le 2\|y - y_n\|^4 \exp\left\{2L^2\varepsilon^2 T + 8C\varepsilon p L^2 T\right\}.$$

Applying the same method as (3.35) in Lemma 3.1, we complete the proof.

■

Finally, we estimate the exponential convergence of $Y_n^\varepsilon(t) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t)$.

Lemma 3.9. *For any $\delta > 0$ and every positive integer n,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon \log P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Y_n^\varepsilon(t) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > \delta\Big) = -\infty. \tag{3.63}$$

Proof. For any $K > 0$ and n, define

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^{1,n} &:= \inf\Big\{t \geq 0 : \|Y_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > K, \text{ or } \varepsilon \int_0^t |AY_n^\varepsilon(s)|^2 ds > K\Big\},\\
\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^{2,n} &:= \inf\Big\{t \geq 0 : |AZ_n^\varepsilon(t)|^2 > K\Big\},\\
\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^{n} &:= \tau_{\varepsilon,K}^{1,n} \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon,K}^{2,n},
\end{aligned}$$

then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Y_n^\varepsilon(t) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > \delta,\ (|Y_n^\varepsilon|_V(T))^2 \leq K,\ \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |AZ_n^\varepsilon(t)|^2 \leq K\Big)\\
\leq\ & P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n]} \|Y_n^\varepsilon(t) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t)\|^2 > \delta).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

Applying Itô formula to $\|Y_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n)\|^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Y_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n)\|^2 + 2\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n} |A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)|^2 ds\\
=\ & -2\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n} \langle AZ_n^\varepsilon, A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle ds\\
& -2\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n} \langle B(Y_n^\varepsilon, Y_n^\varepsilon), A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle ds\\
& -2\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n} \langle G(Y_n^\varepsilon), A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle ds\\
& +\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n} \|\psi(\varepsilon s, Y_n^\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon s, Z_n^\varepsilon)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;V)}^2 ds\\
& +2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n} \langle(\psi(\varepsilon s, Y_n^\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon s, Z_n^\varepsilon))dW(s),\ A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\langle B(Y_n^\varepsilon, Y_n^\varepsilon), A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle = \langle B(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon, Y_n^\varepsilon), A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle + \langle B(Z_n^\varepsilon, Y_n^\varepsilon), A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle B(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon, Y_n^\varepsilon),\ A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle|\\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{8}|A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)|^2 + C\Big(|AY_n^\varepsilon|^2 + |\frac{\partial T_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial T_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2\Big)\|v_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}\|^2\\
& +C|\frac{\partial v_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial v_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle B(Z_n^\varepsilon, Y_n^\varepsilon), A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle| \\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{8}|A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)|^2 + C|\nabla v_n^\varepsilon||\Delta v_n^\varepsilon|\|Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}\|^2 + C|\nabla Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2|\Delta Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2 \\
&+C|\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2 + C\|T_n^\varepsilon\|^2|A_1 v_n^\varepsilon|^2. \qquad (3.66)
\end{aligned}$$

As a result of (3.65) and (3.66), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle B(Y_n^\varepsilon, Y_n^\varepsilon), A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle| \\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{4}|A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)|^2 + C(|AY_n^\varepsilon|^2 + |\frac{\partial T_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial T_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2)\|v_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}\|^2 \\
&+|\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2 + C(\|Z_n^\varepsilon\|^2 + \|T_n^\varepsilon\|^2)|A_1 v_n^\varepsilon|^2 + C|\nabla Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2|\Delta Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, we get

$$|\langle G(Y_n^\varepsilon), A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle| \leq \frac{1}{4}|A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)|^2 + C\|Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2.$$

Finally, by **Hypothesis H0**, we get

$$\|\psi(\varepsilon s, Y_n^\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon s, Z_n^\varepsilon)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;V)} \leq L\|Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon\|.$$

Based on the above estimates, it gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|Y_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n) - Z_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n)\|^2 + 2\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}|A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)|^2 ds \\
\leq\ & 2\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}|AZ_n^\varepsilon||A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)|ds + \varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}|A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)|^2 ds \\
&+2\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}(|AY_n^\varepsilon|^2 + |\frac{\partial T_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial T_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2)\|Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon\|^2 ds \\
&+2\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}\Big[(\|Z_n^\varepsilon\|^2 + \|T_n^\varepsilon\|^2)|A_1 v_n^\varepsilon|^2 + |\nabla Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2|\Delta Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2\Big]ds \\
&+2\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}|\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2 ds \\
&+\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}\|Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2 ds + \varepsilon L^2\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}\|Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon\|^2 ds \\
&+2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}\langle(\psi(\varepsilon s, Y_n^\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon s, Z_n^\varepsilon))dW(s),\ A(Y_n^\varepsilon - Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|Y_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n)-Z_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n)\|^2\\
\leq\ & 4\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}|AZ_n^\varepsilon|^2ds+2\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}(|AY_n^\varepsilon|^2+|\frac{\partial T_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial T_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2)\|Y_n^\varepsilon-Z_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds\\
&+2\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}[(\|Z_n^\varepsilon\|^2+\|T_n^\varepsilon\|^2)|A_1v_n^\varepsilon|^2+|\nabla Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2|\Delta Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2+|\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2]ds\\
&+\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}\|Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds+\varepsilon L^2\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}\|Y_n^\varepsilon-Z_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds\\
&+2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}\langle(\psi(\varepsilon s,Y_n^\varepsilon)-\psi(\varepsilon s,Z_n^\varepsilon))dW(s),\ A(Y_n^\varepsilon-Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$M_t=2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}\langle(\psi(\varepsilon s,Y_n^\varepsilon)-\psi(\varepsilon s,Z_n^\varepsilon))dW(s),\ A(Y_n^\varepsilon-Z_n^\varepsilon)\rangle.$$

Applying Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|Y_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n)-Z_n^\varepsilon(t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n)\|^2\\
\leq\ & \Big(4\varepsilon\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}|AZ_n^\varepsilon|^2ds+2\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}[(\|Z_n^\varepsilon\|^2+\|T_n^\varepsilon\|^2)|A_1v_n^\varepsilon|^2+|\nabla Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2|\Delta Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2+|\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2]ds\\
&+\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}\|Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds+M_t\Big)\cdot\exp\Big\{2\varepsilon C\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}(|AY_n^\varepsilon|^2+|\frac{\partial T_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial T_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2)ds+\varepsilon L^2t\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.32), we get

$$(E(M_T^*)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\ \leq\ \frac{1}{2}(E\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|Y_n^\varepsilon-Z_n^\varepsilon\|^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}}+2C\sqrt{\varepsilon p}L\Big(E(\int_0^T\|Y_n^\varepsilon-Z_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Utilizing Hölder inequality, it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n]}\|Y_n^\varepsilon(s)-Z_n^\varepsilon(s)\|^{2p})^{\frac{2}{p}}\\
\leq\ & \mathrm{e}^{4\varepsilon\mathrm{CK}+4\varepsilon\mathrm{CK}^2+2\varepsilon\mathrm{LT}}\Big[16\varepsilon^2(\mathrm{E}(\int_0^{\mathrm{T}\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,\mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{n}}}|\mathrm{AZ}_{\mathrm{n}}^\varepsilon|^2\mathrm{ds})^{\mathrm{p}})^{\frac{2}{\mathrm{p}}}\\
&+4\varepsilon^2C\Big(E(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}\Big((\|Z_n^\varepsilon\|^2+\|T_n^\varepsilon\|^2)|A_1v_n^\varepsilon|^2+|\nabla Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2|\Delta Z_n^{1,\varepsilon}|^2+|\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2|\nabla\frac{\partial Y_n^\varepsilon}{\partial z}|^2\Big)ds)^p\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}\\
&+\varepsilon^2C\Big(E(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n}\|Y_n^\varepsilon\|^2ds)^p\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}+8C\varepsilon pL^2\int_0^T(E\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n]}\|Y_n^\varepsilon(r)-Z_n^\varepsilon(r)\|^{2p})^{\frac{2}{p}}ds\Big]\\
\leq\ & \mathrm{e}^{4\varepsilon\mathrm{CK}+4\varepsilon\mathrm{CK}^2+2\varepsilon\mathrm{LT}}\Big[16\varepsilon^2\mathrm{K}^2+64\varepsilon^2\mathrm{CK}^4+\varepsilon^2\mathrm{CK}^2\\
&+8C\varepsilon pL^2\int_0^T(E\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon,K}^n]}\|Y_n^\varepsilon(r)-Z_n^\varepsilon(r)\|^{2p})^{\frac{2}{p}}ds\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Gronwall inequality again, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E \sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau^n_{\varepsilon,K}]} \|Y^\varepsilon_n(s) - Z^\varepsilon_n(s)\|^{2p})^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
\leq\ & e^{4\varepsilon CK+4\varepsilon CK^2+2\varepsilon LT}(16\varepsilon^2K^2 + 64\varepsilon^2CK^4 + \varepsilon^2CK^2) \\
&\cdot \exp\left\{8C\varepsilon pTL^4 e^{4\varepsilon CK+4\varepsilon CK^2+2\varepsilon LT}\right\}. \qquad (3.67)
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $p = \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ in (3.67), we have for any n and $K > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon \log P(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau^n_{\varepsilon,K}]} \|Y^\varepsilon_n(t) - Z^\varepsilon_n(t)\|^2 > \delta) \\
\leq\ & \log(E \sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau^n_{\varepsilon,K}]} \|Y^\varepsilon_n(s) - Z^\varepsilon_n(s)\|^{2p})^{\frac{2}{p}} - \log\delta^2 \\
\leq\ & 4\varepsilon CK + 4\varepsilon CK^2 + 2\varepsilon LT + \log(16\varepsilon^2K^2 + 64\varepsilon^2CK^4 + \varepsilon^2CK^2) \\
&+8C\varepsilon pTL^2 \mathrm{e}^{4\varepsilon \mathrm{CK}+4\varepsilon \mathrm{CK}^2+2\varepsilon \mathrm{LT}} - \log\delta^2 \\
\to\ & -\infty \quad as\ \varepsilon \to 0. \qquad (3.68)
\end{aligned}$$

Recall (3.51), for any $R > 0$, there exists a positive constant M_1 such that

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P((|Y^\varepsilon_n|_V(T))^2 > M_1) \leq -R, \quad \forall n. \qquad (3.69)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.6, for such $R > 0$, there exists a positive constant M_2 such that

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \varepsilon \log P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |AZ^\varepsilon_n|^2 > M_2) \leq -R, \quad \forall n. \qquad (3.70)$$

Finally, by (3.64) and (3.68), we have that for such $R > 0$, there exists ε_0 such that for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$,

$$\varepsilon \log P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Y^\varepsilon_n(t) - Z^\varepsilon_n(t)\|^2 > \delta,\ (|Y^\varepsilon_n|(T))^2 \leq K,\ \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |AZ^\varepsilon_n|^2 \leq K) \leq -R \quad \forall n, \quad \forall K. \qquad (3.71)$$

Let K in (3.71) to be $M := M_1 \vee M_2$. From (3.69)-(3.71), we deduce that for any n and $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon \log P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Y^\varepsilon_n(t) - Z^\varepsilon_n(t)\|^2 > \delta) \\
\leq\ & \varepsilon \log P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Y^\varepsilon_n(t) - Z^\varepsilon_n(t)\|^2 > \delta,\ (|Y^\varepsilon_n|_V(T))^2 \leq M,\ \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |AZ^\varepsilon_n|^2 \leq M) \\
&+\varepsilon \log P((|Y^\varepsilon_n|_V(T))^2 > M) + \varepsilon \log P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |AZ^\varepsilon_n|^2 > M) \\
\leq\ & -3R.
\end{aligned}$$

Since R is arbitrary, we complete the proof.

■

Up to now, we get ready to prove (3.24).

Proof of (3.24). From Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8, we have for any $R > 0$, there exists a positive constant N_0 satisfying

$$P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|Y^\varepsilon(t) - Y^\varepsilon_{N_0}(t)\|^2 > \delta) \leq e^{-\frac{R}{\varepsilon}},\ for\ any\ \varepsilon \in (0, 1],$$

and

$$P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|Z^{\varepsilon}(t)-Z^{\varepsilon}_{N_0}(t)\|^2>\delta)\leq e^{-\frac{R}{\varepsilon}},\ for\ any\ \varepsilon\in(0,1].$$

Moreover, in view of Lemma 3.9, for such N_0, there exists ε_0 such that for any $0<\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_0$,

$$P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|Y^{\varepsilon}_{N_0}(t)-Z^{\varepsilon}_{N_0}(t)\|^2>\delta)\leq \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\varepsilon}}.$$

As a result of the previous inequalities, we have for any $0<\varepsilon\leq\varepsilon_0$,

$$P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|Y^{\varepsilon}(t)-Z^{\varepsilon}(t)\|^2>\delta)\leq 3\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\varepsilon}}.$$

Since R is arbitrary, we conclude

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\varepsilon\log P(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|Y^{\varepsilon}(t)-Z^{\varepsilon}(t)\|^2>\delta)=-\infty.$$

■

References

[1] M.T. Barlow and M. Yor. *Semi-martingale inequalities via the Garsia-Rodemich-Rumsey lemma, and applications to local time*. J. Funct. Anal. 49 198-229 (1982).

[2] C. Cao, E.S. Titi: *Global well-posedness of the three-dimensional viscous primitive equations of large-scale ocean and atmosphere dynamics*. Ann. of Math. 166, 245-267 (2007).

[3] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[4] B. Davis. *On the L^p norms of stochastic integrals and other martingales*. Duke Math. J. 43 697-704 (1976).

[5] A. Debussche, N. Glatt-Holtz, R. Temam: *Local martingale and pathwise solutions for an abstract fluids model*. Physical D, 240, 1123-1144 (2011).

[6] A. Debussche, N. Glatt-Holtz, R. Temam, M. Ziane: *Global existence and regularity for the 3D stochastic primitive equations of the ocean and atmosphere with multiplicative white noise*. Nonlinearity, 25, 2093-2118 (2012).

[7] A. Dembo, O. Zeitouni: *Large deviations techniques and applications*. Jones and Bartlett, Boston, (1993).

[8] Z. Dong, J. Zhai, R. Zhang: *Exponential mixing for 3D stochastic primitive equations of the large scale ocean.* preprint. Available at arXiv: 1506.08514.

[9] Z. Dong, J. Zhai, R. Zhang: *Large deviation principles for 3D stochastic primitive equations.* preprint. Available at arXiv:1606.03677.

[10] H. Gao, C. Sun: *Well-posedness and large deviations for the stochastic primitive equations in two space dimensions*. Commun. Math. Sci. Vol.10, No.2, 575-593 (2012).

[11] B. Guo, D. Huang: *3D stochastic primitive equations of the large-scale ocean: global well-posedness and attractors* . Comm. Math. Phys. 286, no. 2, 697-723 (2009).

[12] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang: *New formulations of the primitive equations of atmosphere and applications.* Nonlinearity 5, 237-288 (1992).

[13] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang: *On the equations of the large scale ocean.* Nonlinearity 5, 1007-1053 (1992).

[14] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang: *Models of the coupled atmosphere and ocean.* Computational Mechanics Advance 1, 1-54 (1993).

[15] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang: *Mathematical theory for the coupled atmosphere-ocean models.* J. Math. Pures Appl. 74, 105-163 (1995).

[16] W. Liu, M. Röckner, X. Zhu: *Large deviation principles for the stochastic quasi-geostrophic equations*. Stochastic Process. Appl. 123, no. 8, 3299-3327 (2013).

[17] J. Pedlosky: *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[18] T. Xu, T.S. Zhang: *On the small time asymptotics of the two-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equations*. Ann.Inst.Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 45(4) 1002-1019 (2009).